

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STAFES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bob. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,677	06/25/2001	SushilKumar Gangadharan	112056-0009 9968 EXAMINER	
24267	7590 08/24/2005			
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP			MARTIN, NICHOLAS A	
88 BLACK F. BOSTON, M	ALCON AVENUE A 02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/888,677	GANGADHARAN, SUSHILKUMAR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nicholas Martin	2154		

	- Adminion	Art Ollic					
	Nicholas Martin	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		=					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW O				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	`	,				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to:			•				
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			~				
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut hoforo or on the data of filing a N	ulation of Annaal will r	at ha antarad				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 7/26/01 - 7/28/03.							
13. Other:	i anseze						
SUPERVISORY P	LLANSBIE ATENT EVALUMER CENTER 2100						
() TECHNOLOGY	CEMIEM ZIOU						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As per remarks, Applicant argues that Boucher does not suggest of disclose uniformly distributing data transmitted by a server over a plurality of underlying links by associating each fragment to an underlying link of the aggregate on the basis of an Internet protocol (IP) identifier (ID) and transmitting the fragment over its associated underlying link.

As to point, Boucher teaches uniformly distributing data transmitted by a server over a plurality of underlying links by associating each fragment to an underlying link of the aggregate on the basis of an Internet protocol (IP) identifier (ID) and transmitting the fragment over its associated underlying link (Paragraphs [0008], [0048], [0049], [0052] "... as packet bytes pass through the hardware, by categorizing selected header bytes. Results of processing the selected bytes help to determine which other bytes of the packet are categorized... The processed headers and data from the received packet are then stored...", [0057-0058], [0065], [0073] "... INIC is connected with four network lines... transport data along a number of different conduits...", [0109] "... processing TCP/IP data... reassemble IP fragments. ...holds information about status of the IP reassembly... across the IP datagram... identified by the IP_ID of the datagram...", [0332], [0502] "... associated with an input frame when the frame's source and destination IP addresses and source and destination ports match that of TCB... the link is dynamic on a per-frame basis...")...